



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/850,960	05/08/2001	Andrew D. Jackson	US010247 6140		
24737 7	590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			PHAN, THANH S		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2841		
				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A and the sale of the				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/850,960	JACKSON ET AL.			
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAII INC DATE of this communication com	Thanh S Phan	2841			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Oc					
, <u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp sition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 31-36</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-19, 31-36 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	oleotion requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(at sentence of the specification or existence application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment/s)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 and 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Vliet et al. [US 5,973,453] in view of Krasko et al. [US 5,694,002].

Van Vliet et al. disclose a discharge lamp [figures 1 and 2] comprising a ceramic discharge vessel enclosing a discharge space, said discharge vessel including within said discharge space an ionizable material comprising a metal halide, a first and second discharge electrode feedthrough means, and a first and second current conductor connected to said first and second discharge electrode feedthrough means;

said lamp having a power range of about 150 to about 1000W and exhibiting one or more of a characteristics selected from the group consisting of a CCT of about 3800 to about 4500k and a CRI of about 70 to about 95 and a MPCD of about ±10;

said discharge vessel having a filling containing a salt mixture and one of rare earth iodides [column 5, lines 1-11].

Application/Control Number: 09/850,960

Art Unit: 2841

Van Vliet et al. disclose the instant claimed invention except for: except for a luminous efficacy up to about 85-95 lumens/watts.

Krasko et al. disclose a metal halide lamp with a luminous efficacy about 90 lumens/watt.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Krasko et al.'s teaching with Van Vliet et al.'s for the purpose of improving the color rendition and luminous output.

Claims 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Vliet et al. [US 5,973,453] in view of Van Der Leeuw et al. [US 5,532,543].

Van Vliet et al. disclose a discharge lamp having a power range of about 150W to about 1000W and comprising a ceramic discharge vessel enclosing a discharge space, said discharge vessel including within said discharge space an ionizable material comprising a metal halide, a first and second discharge electrode feedthrough means, and a first and second current conductor connected to said first and second discharge electrode feedthrough means;

wherein the ceramic discharge vessel include an arc tube comprising:

a cylindrical barrel having a central axis and a pair of opposed end walls, a pair of ceramic end plugs extending from respective end walls along said axis, a pair of leadins extending through respective end plugs, said lead-ins being connected to respective electrodes which are spaced apart in said central barrels, wherein the electrode feedthrough means each have a lead-in of niobium which is hermetically sealed into the arc tube, a central portion of molybdenum/aluminum cermet [figures 1 and 2];

Application/Control Number: 09/850,960

Art Unit: 2841

Van Vliet et al. do not disclose wherein the tungsten rod having a winding of tungsten.

Van Der Leeuw et al. disclose a discharge lamp wherein the tungsten rod having a winding of tungsten [figure 2].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Van Der Leeuw et al.'s teachings with Van Vliet al.'s for the purpose of securing the discharge vessel.

Regarding claim 4, Van Der Leeuw et al. further disclose wherein the arc tube has a molybdenum coil attached to its surface [figure 2].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Van Der Leeuw et al.'s coil with Van Vliet et al.'s lamp for the purpose of securing the discharge vessel.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 and 31-36 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 09/850,960

Art Unit: 2841

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thanh S Phan whose telephone number is 703-305-

0069. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David S Martin can be reached on 703-308-3121. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7722

for regular communications and 703-305-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

TSP

November 27, 2003

DAVID MARTIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SY CENTER 2800

Page 5